PROJECT NAME:	Lost Toboggan Prescribed Fire
DATE:	November 18, 2019
DISTRICT:	Nez Perce-Clearwater NF, Lochsa-Powell and North Fork
	Ranger Districts

CONSIDERATION OF EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES

EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES	PRESENT? (YES/NO)	MAJOR EFFECT? (YES/NO)	INFORMATION OR MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENT
A1. Threatened, endangered, & sensitive species or their habitats - WILDLIFE	Yes	Potentially	The project area overlaps with modeled lynx habitat within 3 Lynx Analysis Units (17, 24, 25). Several ignition units have the potential to affect mature multi-story habitat. Surveys to determine extent of possible mature multi-story in these units will need to be conducted.
A2. Threatened, endangered, & sensitive species or their habitats - FISHERIES			
A3. Threatened, endangered, & sensitive species or their habitats - PLANTS			
B. Floodplains, wetlands, or municipal watersheds			
C. Congressionally designated areas such as wilderness or National Recreation Areas			
D. Roadless areas			
E. Research Natural Areas			
F. Native American religious or cultural sites, archeological sites, or historic properties or areas			

Projects in Roadless Areas

Project impacts on roadless characteristics as defined in 36 CFR 294(B)11 & (C)21

Roadless Characteristics	Present? (YES/NO)	INFORMATION OR MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENT
1. High quality or		
undisturbed soil, water and		
air.		
2. Sources of public drinking		
water		
3. Diversity of plant and		
animal communities		
4. Habitat for threatened,		
endangered, proposed,		
candidate and sensitive		
species and for those species		
dependant on large		
undisturbed areas or land		
5. Primitive, semi-private		
nonmotorized and semi-		
primitive motorized classes		
of dispersed recreation		
6. Reference landscapes		
7. Natural appearing		
landscapes with high scenic		
quality		
8. Traditional cultural		
properties and sacred sites		
9. Other locally identified		
unique characteristics		

<u>Projects involving Road Construction, Reconstruction, Temporary</u> <u>Roads, and/or Haul Routes</u>

ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS	YES/NO	MITIGATION MEASURE/COMMENTS/INFO		
1. Will road construction or				
reconstruction be required?				
Type of road and length.				
2. Will temporary roads be				
needed?				
3. Will road maintenance be				
needed? Who will perform?				
4. Is the area in a travel plan				
closure area? Year round or	N/A	No Road Construction Proposed		
seasonal? Hunting season	IN/A			
restrictions?				
5. Are haul roads part of an				
established snowmobile				
network?				
6. Are there public safety				
concerns for roads, trails, or				
other road improvements?				
7. Are there other				
improvements which will				
require protection?				
8. Will the project impact	N/A	No Road Construction Proposed		
winter range?	14/11	110 Roud Construction I Toposed		
9. Will the project impact				
critical elk summer range or				
cause elk summer habitat	N/A	No Road Construction Proposed		
effectivenees to be below FP				
standards?				
10. Will the project impact	N/A	No Road Construction Proposed		
elk security?	1 1/ 🕰	110 Road Construction I Toposed		

Projects involving Vegetation/Fuels Treatment

RESOURCE CONSIDERA	ATION Y	YES/NO	MITIGATION/EXPLANATION
1. Will post-treatment st			
meet R1 down woody de	oris		
requirements?			
2. Will post-treatment st			While some existing spage may be removed as a
meet snag and replaceme	nt snag	Yes	While some existing snags may be removed as a result of fire other snags will be created.
guidelines?			result of the other shags will be created.
3. Are activities propose			
PACFISH/INFISH RHC	A or		
wetland?			
4. Is the area in a			
PACFISH/INFISH priori			
watershed? Is the stream	fish		
bearing?			
5. Are there soil compac			
concerns? i.e. Does the pr			
involve ground based hea	vy		
equipment?			
6. Is the area in an activ			
grazing allotment? What	type of		
livestock? How many?			
7. Does the area meet F		Yes	Elk analysis areas which overlap with the project
standards for elk habitat			area have a Forest Plan Standard of 100% EHE.
effectiveness?			While prescribed fires may increase openings, in
			those area, they also improve forage quality and availability. Many of the proposed ignition units
			fall within areas predicted to have the potential
			to provide high quality nutrition.
8. Is the area in a visual	y		
sensitive area? Will mitig			
measures be needed to re			
adverse visual effects?			
9. What other entities or			
agencies need to be conta	cted		
for coordination.			
10. Are there land line su	rvey		
needs?	-		
11. What noxious weed c	ontrol		
measures will be employed	ed?		
12. Are activities propose	ed in		There will not be direct ignition within old
old growth or replacemer		Yes	growth however fire will be allowed to back into
growth?	1010	1 05	old growth areas as would happen under historic
Siowai:			wildfire.